Non-redundancy: towards a unified approach to movement and construal

Carlos Muñoz Pérez

University of Buenos Aires, CONICET & Newcastle University

Main approaches to movement within generative syntax have alternatively taken gaps to be either objects with no internal structure, e.g., *traces* (Chomsky 1973, Fiengo 1977), or unpronounced constituents having the same structure as their filler (Chomsky 1993, Nunes 1995). Recent research converges on the idea that a single movement chain may contain both types of object functioning as gaps, e.g., Takahashi & Hulsey (2009), Stanton (2016), Muñoz Pérez (2017, 2018), Poole (2017).

Extending ideas in Muñoz Pérez (2017, 2018), I contend that the simplest way to generate this type of chains involves adopting an algorithm of chain recognition that allows creating movement dependencies from base-generated (and transformationally unrelated) constituents. Under this assumption, the lexico-syntactic structure of gaps can be determined in a left-to-right fashion from (i) recoverability, and (ii) a principle of **non-redundancy between anaphorically dependent DPs** based on Schlenker's (2005) *Minimize Restrictors!*. The basic prediction is that gaps must have as little structure as possible. The system is tested against a number of traditional reconstruction and anti-reconstruction puzzles, including the so-called *Barss Generalization* (Barss 1986, Sauerland & Elbourne 2002).

While the proposed non-redundancy principle is able to account for Condition C violations just as Schlenker's *Minimize Restrictors!*, combining it with the mechanism of chain recognition in Muñoz Pérez (2017, 2018) allows to predict the distribution of pronouns and reflexives under the assumption that the latter involve A-movement dependencies (Hornstein 2001, Boeckx et al. 2009).

- Barss, Andrew. 1986. Chains and anaphoric dependence. Cambridge, MA: MIT dissertation.
- Boeckx, Cedric, Norbert Hornstein & Jairo Nunes. 2009. Copy-reflexive and copy-control constructions: A movement analysis. *Linguistic Variation Yearbook* 8. 61–100. doi: 10.1075/livy.8.03boe.
- Chomsky, Noam. 1973. Conditions on transformations. In Stephen Anderson & Paul Kiparsky (eds.), A Festschrift for Morris Halle, 232–286. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Chomsky, Noam. 1993. A minimalist program for linguistic theory. In Kenneth Halle & Samuel Keyser (eds.), *The view from building 20*, 1–52. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Fiengo, Robert. 1977. On trace theory. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8(1). 35–61.
- Hornstein, Norbert. 2001. Move! a minimalist theory of construal. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Muñoz Pérez, Carlos. 2017. *Cadenas e interfaces*. Buenos Aires: University of Buenos Aires dissertation.
- Muñoz Pérez, Carlos. 2018. Recognizing copies: On the definition of non-distinctiveness. *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics* 3(1). 1–29. doi:10.5334/gjgl.271.
- Nunes, Jairo. 1995. The copy theory of movement and linearization of chains in the minimalist program. College Park: The University of Maryland dissertation.
- Nunes, Jairo. 2004. *Linearization of chains and sideward movement*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

- Poole, Ethan. 2017. Movement and the semantic type of traces. Amherst: University of Massachusetts dissertation.
- Pujalte, Mercedes & Andrés Saab. 2012. Syncretism as PF-repair: the case of SE-insertion in Spanish. In María Cristina Cuervo & Yves Roberge (eds.), *The end of argument structure*, vol. 38 (Syntax and Semantics), chap. 10, 229–260. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited. doi:10.1163/9781780523774_011.
- Saab, Andrés. 2015. On long-distance theta-role assignment. *Lingua* 160. 91–126. doi: 10.1016/j.lingua.2015.03.009.
- Sauerland, Uli & Paul Elbourne. 2002. Total reconstruction, PF movement, and derivational order. *Linguistic Inquiry* 33(2). 283–319. doi:10.1162/002438902317406722.
- Schlenker, Philippe. 2005. Minimize restrictors! (notes on definite descriptions, condition C and epithets). In Emar Maier, Corien Bary & Janneke Huitink (eds.), Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 9. 385–416. Nijmegen: NCS.
- Stanton, Juliet. 2016. Wholesale late merger in A-movement: Evidence from preposition stranding. *Linguistic Inquiry* 47(1). 89–126. doi:10.1162/ling_a_00205.
- Takahashi, Shoichi & Sarah Hulsey. 2009. Wholesale late merger: Beyond the A/Ā distinction. Linguistic Inquiry 40(3). 387–426. doi:10.1162/ling.2009.40.3.387.